

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/816,680

Applicant(s)

HELMUS ET AL.

Examiner

Carlos A. Azpuru

Art Unit

1615

All Participants:(1) Carlos A. Azpuru.(2) Rajiv Yadav, Ph.D..**Status of Application:** _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 15 July 2005**Time:** _____**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

112, 1st paragraph for enablement

Claims discussed:

1 & 27

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

It was agreed that the word "reventing" would be deleted from both claims 1 and 27 in order to avoid a rejection under 35 USC 112, first paragraph for enablement.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)